Report to:	SOUTHPOF	RT AREA COMMITT	EE Date of Meet	ing: 27 MARCH 2013
Subject:	Consolidatio	dation of Traffic Regulation Orders		
Report of:	Director of E	Built Environment	Wards Affected:	Birkdale, Dukes, Kew & Meols
Is this a Key Decision? No		No	Is it included in the Forward Plan? No	
Exempt/Confidential		No		

#### Purpose/Summary

To recommend the progression of simplified Traffic Regulation Orders at various locations.

#### Recommendation(s)

It is recommended that: -

- (i) Traffic Regulation Order's, relating to Bank Passage, Brook Street, Eastbank Street, Eastbank Street Square, Eastbourne Road, Falkland Road, Palace Road, Regent Road, St. George's Place, Walnut Street, Wright Street be progressed.
- (ii) the necessary legal procedures, including those of public consultation and advertising the council's intention to implement the Order, be approved.

#### How does the decision contribute to the Council's Corporate Objectives?

	Corporate Objective	Positive Impact	<u>Neutral</u> Impact	<u>Negative</u> Impact
1	Creating a Learning Community		$\checkmark$	
2	Jobs and Prosperity			
3	Environmental Sustainability		$\checkmark$	
4	Health and Well-Being			
5	Children and Young People		$\checkmark$	
6	Creating Safe Communities			
7	Creating Inclusive Communities		$\checkmark$	
8	Improving the Quality of Council Services and Strengthening Local Democracy			

**Reasons for the Recommendation:** The Council has the power to revoke a Traffic Regulation Order [Part IV of Schedule 9 to the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984] as well as the power to make a new Traffic Regulation Order [Section 1 of that Act].

### What will it cost and how will it be financed?

(A)	<b>Revenue Costs</b>	£300 - All costs will be funded from within the Traffic
		Management revenue budget for 2012/13.

(B) Capital Costs Nil

**Implications:** The following implications of this proposal have been considered and where there are specific implications, these are set out below:

Legal	l N	None
Huma	an Resources	None
<b>Equa</b> 1.	lity No Equality Implication	$\checkmark$
2.	Equality Implications ident	tified and mitigated
3.	Equality Implication identif	fied and risk remains
Impo	ct on Sonvice Delivery:	Nii

# Impact on Service Delivery: Nil

#### What consultations have taken place on the proposals and when?

The Head of Corporate Finance (FD 2210/13) has been consulted and notes the indicated expenditure will be met from existing budgets.

The Head of Corporate Legal Services (LD 1526/13) has been consulted and any comments have been incorporated into the report.

#### Are there any other options available for consideration? No

Implementation Date for the Decision: Immediately following the Committee meeting.

Contact Officer:	Colin Taylor
	Investment Programmes and Infrastructure
Tel:	0151 934 4189
Email:	colin.taylor@sefton.gov.uk

Background Papers: There are no background papers available for inspection.

## 1.0 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Council has a Policy of simplifying Sefton's Traffic Regulation Orders (TRO's) by consolidating orders to produce one order for each road, rather than an Order which will contain a number of roads.
- 1.2 In the vast majority of cases, the new TRO's will simply reflect the waiting restrictions, which are currently on site. In this situation, it is simply proposed to advertise the new TRO in the local press, without placing notices on site.
- 1.3 Where the current waiting restrictions are considered either inappropriate, or too restrictive, the opportunity is taken to review existing waiting restrictions, and if considered necessary, introduce amended waiting restrictions or remove waiting restrictions altogether. In these cases, the TRO will not only be advertised in the local press, but also on site via the usual site notices.
- 1.4 As part of this process the location of consolidated TRO's is circulated to all Ward Members to enable them to comment on any local issues relating to the existing TRO's.

## 2.0 PROPOSED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS.

- 2.1 Annex A details the roads where new TRO's will be progressed, the effect of which will result in no change to the existing restrictions currently on site.
- 2.2 Annex B details the list of roads where new TRO's will be progressed, the effect of which will result in changes to the existing restrictions currently on site. The extent of the proposed changes are included in Annex B. All other restrictions along the lengths of roads will remain the same.

## 3.0 CONSULTATION

3.1 Copies of the Annexes have been forwarded to the relevant Ward Councillors. At the time of preparing the report, no comments or objections to the progression of the proposed TRO's had been received. Any late comments from the Ward Councillors will be reported orally to the meeting.

## Schedule of Proposed Traffic Regulation Orders

## **NO CHANGE to Existing Restrictions**

Ref No.	Location	Ward
0195	Bank Passage, Southport	Dukes
0423	Brook Street, Southport	Meols
0937R2	Eastbank Street, Southport	Dukes / Kew
0938	Eastbank Street Square, Southport	Dukes
0939R1	Eastbourne Road, Birkdale	Birkdale
1039	Falkland Road, Southport	Kew
2199	Palace Road, Birkdale	Dukes
2415	Regent Road, Birkdale	Dukes
3121	Walnut Street, Southport	Kew
3300R2	Wright Street, Southport	Dukes
2558R1	St Georges Place, Southport	Dukes

## Schedule of Proposed Traffic Regulation Orders

# **CHANGES to Existing Restrictions**

Ref No. Location

Ward

**Proposed Changes** 

NONE